# 7.5 Skip Lists

Why do we not use a list for implementing the ADT Dynamic Set?

- *▶* time for search Θ*(n)*
- $\blacktriangleright$  time for insert  $\Theta(n)$  (dominated by searching the item)
- *▶* time for delete Θ*(*1*)* if we are given a handle to the object, otw. Θ*(n)*

```
\rightarrow 10 \rightarrow 12 \rightarrow 14 \rightarrow 18 \rightarrow 23 \rightarrow 26
```


# 7.5 Skip Lists

Add more express lanes. Lane *<sup>L</sup><sup>i</sup>* contains roughly every *<sup>L</sup>i*−<sup>1</sup> *Li* -th item from list *Li*−1.

### Search(x)  $(k + 1$  lists  $L_0, \ldots, L_k$

- $\blacktriangleright$  Find the largest item in list  $L_k$  that is smaller than *x*. At most  $|L_k|$  + 2 steps.
- *▶* Find the largest item in list *Lk*−<sup>1</sup> that is smaller than *x*. At  $\textsf{most} \, \lceil \frac{|L_{k-1}|}{|L_{k}|+1} \rceil + 2 \, \textsf{steps}.$
- *▶* Find the largest item in list *Lk*−<sup>2</sup> that is smaller than *x*. At  $\textsf{most} \, \lceil \frac{|L_{k-2}|}{|L_{k-1}|+1} \rceil + 2 \, \textsf{steps}.$
- *▶* . . .

$$
\blacktriangleright \text{ At most } |L_k| + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{L_{i-1}}{L_i} + 3(k+1) \text{ steps.}
$$

$$
\text{min}_{\text{Harald Räc}}
$$

7.5 Skip Lists 2. Dec. 2024 Harald Räcke 198/210

# 7.5 Skip Lists

How can we improve the search-operation?

Add an express lane:



Let |L<sub>1</sub>| denote the number of elements in the "express lane", and  $|L_0| = n$  the number of all elements (ignoring dummy elements).

Worst case search time:  $|L_1|+\frac{|L_0|}{|L_1|}$  (ignoring additive constants)

Choose  $|L_1| = \sqrt{n}$ . Then search time  $\Theta(\sqrt{n})$ .

# 7.5 Skip Lists

<code>Choose</code> ratios between list-lengths evenly, i.e.,  $\frac{|L_{i-1}|}{|L_i|} = r$ , and, hence,  $L_k \approx r^{-k}n$ .

Worst case running time is:  $O(r^{-k}n + kr)$ . Choose  $r = n^{\frac{1}{k+1}}$  . Then

$$
r^{-k}n + kr = \left(n^{\frac{1}{k+1}}\right)^{-k}n + kn^{\frac{1}{k+1}}
$$

$$
= n^{1-\frac{k}{k+1}} + kn^{\frac{1}{k+1}}
$$

$$
= (k+1)n^{\frac{1}{k+1}}.
$$

Choosing  $k = \Theta(\log n)$  gives a logarithmic running time.

## 7.5 Skip Lists

#### How to do insert and delete?

 $\blacktriangleright$  If we want that in  $L_i$  we always skip over roughly the same number of elements in *Li*−<sup>1</sup> an insert or delete may require a lot of re-organisation.

### Use randomization instead!



# 7.5 Skip Lists

Insert (35):



# 7.5 Skip Lists

### Insert:

- *▶* A search operation gives you the insert position for element *x* in every list.
- *▶* Flip a coin until it shows head, and record the number  $t \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  of trials needed.
- *▶* Insert *x* into lists  $L_0$ , . . . ,  $L_{t-1}$ .

### Delete:

- *▶* You get all predecessors via backward pointers.
- *▶* Delete *x* in all lists it actually appears in.

### The time for both operations is dominated by the search time.



## High Probability

### Definition 18 (High Probability)

We say a **randomized** algorithm has running time  $O(\log n)$  with high probability if for any constant *α* the running time is at most  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  with probability at least  $1 - \frac{1}{n^{\alpha}}$ .

Here the O-notation hides a constant that may depend on *α*.



### High Probability

Suppose there are polynomially many events  $E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_\ell, \, \ell = n^c$ each holding with high probability (e.g. *E<sup>i</sup>* may be the event that the *i*-th search in a skip list takes time at most  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ ).

Then the probability that all *E<sup>i</sup>* hold is at least

 $\Pr[E_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge E_\ell] = 1 - \Pr[\bar{E}_1 \vee \cdots \vee \bar{E}_\ell]$  $\geq 1 - n^c \cdot n^{-\alpha}$  $= 1 - n^{c - \alpha}$ .

This means  $E_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge E_\ell$  holds with high probability.



## 7.5 Skip Lists

#### Lemma 19

*A search (and, hence, also insert and delete) in a skip list with n elements takes time* O*(logn) with high probability (w. h. p.).*





From this it follows that w.h.p. there are no long paths.

Harald Räcke 206/210

## 7.5 Skip Lists

Estimation for Binomial Coefficients

$$
\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^k \le \binom{n}{k} \le \left(\frac{en}{k}\right)^k
$$

$$
\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k! \cdot (n-k)!} = \frac{n \cdot \ldots \cdot (n-k+1)}{k \cdot \ldots \cdot 1} \ge \left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^k
$$

$$
\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n \cdot \ldots \cdot (n - k + 1)}{k!} \le \frac{n^k}{k!} = \frac{n^k \cdot k^k}{k^k \cdot k!}
$$

$$
= \left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^k \cdot \frac{k^k}{k!} \le \left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^k \cdot \sum_{i \ge 0} \frac{k^i}{i!} = \left(\frac{en}{k}\right)^k
$$

## 7.5 Skip Lists

Let  $E_{z,k}$  denote the event that a search path is of length  $z$ (number of edges) but does not visit a list above *Lk*.

In particular, this means that during the construction in the backward analysis we see at most *k* heads (i.e., coin flips that tell you to go up) in *z* trials.



# 7.5 Skip Lists

So far we fixed  $k = \gamma \log n$ ,  $\gamma \ge 1$ , and  $z = 7\alpha \gamma \log n$ ,  $\alpha \ge 1$ .

This means that a search path of length  $\Omega(\log n)$  visits a list on a level  $\Omega(\log n)$ , w.h.p.

Let  $A_{k+1}$  denote the event that the list  $L_{k+1}$  is non-empty. Then

### $Pr[A_{k+1}] \leq n2^{-(k+1)} \leq n^{-(\gamma-1)}$ .

For the search to take at least *z* = 7*αγ* log *n* steps either the event  $E_{z,k}$  or the event  $A_{k+1}$  must hold. Hence,

> $Pr[$  search requires  $z$  steps $] \le Pr[E_{z,k}] + Pr[A_{k+1}]$  $\leq n^{-\alpha} + n^{-(\gamma-1)}$

This means, the search requires at most *z* steps, w. h. p.

# 7.5 Skip Lists

 $Pr[E_{z,k}] \leq Pr[$  at most *k* heads in *z* trials ]

$$
\leq \binom{z}{k}2^{-(z-k)} \leq \left(\frac{ez}{k}\right)^k 2^{-(z-k)} \leq \left(\frac{2ez}{k}\right)^k 2^{-z}
$$

choosing  $k = y \log n$  with  $y \ge 1$  and  $z = (\beta + \alpha) y \log n$ 

$$
\leq \left(\frac{2ez}{k}\right)^k 2^{-\beta k} \cdot n^{-\gamma\alpha} \leq \left(\frac{2ez}{2^{\beta k}}\right)^k \cdot n^{-\alpha}
$$

$$
\leq \left(\frac{2e(\beta+\alpha)}{2^{\beta}}\right)^k n^{-\alpha}
$$

now choosing  $\beta = 6\alpha$  gives

$$
\leq \left(\frac{42\alpha}{64^{\alpha}}\right)^{k} n^{-\alpha} \leq n^{-\alpha}
$$

for  $\alpha > 1$ .

2. Dec. 2024



