7.5 (a, b)-trees

Definition 1

For $b \geq 2a - 1$ an (a, b)-tree is a search tree with the following properties

1. all leaves have the same distance to the root
2. every internal non-root vertex v has at least a and at most b children
3. the root has degree at least 2 if the tree is non-empty
4. the internal vertices do not contain data, but only keys (external search tree)
5. there is a special dummy leaf node with key-value ∞

7.5 (a, b)-trees

Definition 1

For $b \geq 2a - 1$ an (a, b)-tree is a search tree with the following properties

1. all leaves have the same distance to the root
2. every internal non-root vertex v has at least a and at most b children
3. the root has degree at least 2 if the tree is non-empty
4. the internal vertices do not contain data, but only keys (external search tree)
5. there is a special dummy leaf node with key-value ∞

7.5 (a, b)-trees

Definition 1

For $b \geq 2a - 1$ an (a, b)-tree is a search tree with the following properties

1. all leaves have the same distance to the root
2. every internal non-root vertex v has at least a and at most b children
3. the root has degree at least 2 if the tree is non-empty
4. the internal vertices do not contain data, but only keys (external search tree)
5. there is a special dummy leaf node with key-value ∞

7.5 (a, b)-trees

Definition 1

For $b \geq 2a - 1$ an (a, b)-tree is a search tree with the following properties

1. all leaves have the same distance to the root
2. every internal non-root vertex v has at least a and at most b children
3. the root has degree at least 2 if the tree is non-empty
4. the internal vertices do not contain data, but only keys (external search tree)
5. there is a special dummy leaf node with key-value ∞

7.5 (a, b)-trees

Definition 1

For $b \geq 2a - 1$ an (a, b)-tree is a search tree with the following properties

1. all leaves have the same distance to the root
2. every internal non-root vertex v has at least a and at most b children
3. the root has degree at least 2 if the tree is non-empty
4. the internal vertices do not contain data, but only keys (external search tree)
5. there is a special dummy leaf node with key-value ∞

7.5 (a, b)-trees

Definition 1

For $b \geq 2a - 1$ an (a, b)-tree is a search tree with the following properties

1. all leaves have the same distance to the root
2. every internal non-root vertex v has at least a and at most b children
3. the root has degree at least 2 if the tree is non-empty
4. the internal vertices do not contain data, but only keys (external search tree)
5. there is a special dummy leaf node with key-value ∞

7.5 (a, b)-trees

Each internal node v with $d(v)$ children stores $d - 1$ keys $k_1, \ldots, k_{d-1}$. The i-th subtree of v fulfills

$$k_{i-1} < \text{ key in } i\text{-th sub-tree } \leq k_i \;,$$

where we use $k_0 = -\infty$ and $k_d = \infty$.

7.5 (a, b)-trees

Example 2



7.5 (a, b)-trees

Variants

- The dummy leaf element may not exist; it only makes implementation more convenient.
- Variants in which $b = 2a$ are commonly referred to as B-trees.
- A B-tree usually refers to the variant in which keys and data are stored at internal nodes.
- A B^+ tree stores the data only at leaf nodes as in our definition. Sometimes the leaf nodes are also connected in a linear list data structure to speed up the computation of successors and predecessors.
- A B^* tree requires that a node is at least $2/3$-full as opposed to $1/2$-full (the requirement of a B-tree).

7.5 (a, b)-trees

Variants

- The dummy leaf element may not exist; it only makes implementation more convenient.
- Variants in which $b = 2a$ are commonly referred to as B-trees.
- A B-tree usually refers to the variant in which keys and data are stored at internal nodes.
- A B^+ tree stores the data only at leaf nodes as in our definition. Sometimes the leaf nodes are also connected in a linear list data structure to speed up the computation of successors and predecessors.
- A B^* tree requires that a node is at least $2/3$-full as opposed to $1/2$-full (the requirement of a B-tree).

7.5 (a, b)-trees

Variants

- The dummy leaf element may not exist; it only makes implementation more convenient.
- Variants in which $b = 2a$ are commonly referred to as B-trees.
- A B-tree usually refers to the variant in which keys and data are stored at internal nodes.
- A B^+ tree stores the data only at leaf nodes as in our definition. Sometimes the leaf nodes are also connected in a linear list data structure to speed up the computation of successors and predecessors.
- A B^* tree requires that a node is at least $2/3$-full as opposed to $1/2$-full (the requirement of a B-tree).

7.5 (a, b)-trees

Variants

- The dummy leaf element may not exist; it only makes implementation more convenient.
- Variants in which $b = 2a$ are commonly referred to as B-trees.
- A B-tree usually refers to the variant in which keys and data are stored at internal nodes.
- A B^+ tree stores the data only at leaf nodes as in our definition. Sometimes the leaf nodes are also connected in a linear list data structure to speed up the computation of successors and predecessors.
- A B^* tree requires that a node is at least $2/3$-full as opposed to $1/2$-full (the requirement of a B-tree).

Variants

- The dummy leaf element may not exist; it only makes implementation more convenient.
- Variants in which $b = 2a$ are commonly referred to as B-trees.
- A B-tree usually refers to the variant in which keys and data are stored at internal nodes.
- A B^+ tree stores the data only at leaf nodes as in our definition. Sometimes the leaf nodes are also connected in a linear list data structure to speed up the computation of successors and predecessors.
- A B^* tree requires that a node is at least $2/3$-full as opposed to $1/2$-full (the requirement of a B-tree).

Lemma 3

Let T be an (a,b)-tree for $n > 0$ elements (i.e., $n+1$ leaf nodes) and height h (number of edges from root to a leaf vertex). Then

1. $2a^{h-1} \leq n+1 \leq b^h$
2. $\log_b(n+1) \leq h \leq 1 + \log_a(\frac{n+1}{2})$

Proof.

- If $n > 0$ the root has degree at least 2 and all other nodes have degree at least a. This gives that the number of leaf nodes is at least $2a^{h-1}$.
- Analogously, the degree of any node is at most b and, hence, the number of leaf nodes at most b^h.

Lemma 3

Let T be an (a,b)-tree for $n > 0$ elements (i.e., $n+1$ leaf nodes) and height h (number of edges from root to a leaf vertex). Then

1. $2a^{h-1} \le n+1 \le b^h$
2. $\log_b(n+1) \le h \le 1 + \log_a(\frac{n+1}{2})$

Proof.

- If $n > 0$ the root has degree at least 2 and all other nodes have degree at least a. This gives that the number of leaf nodes is at least $2a^{h-1}$.
- Analogously, the degree of any node is at most b and, hence, the number of leaf nodes at most b^h.

Lemma 3

Let T be an (a,b)-tree for $n > 0$ elements (i.e., $n+1$ leaf nodes) and height h (number of edges from root to a leaf vertex). Then

1. $2a^{h-1} \leq n+1 \leq b^h$
2. $\log_b(n+1) \leq h \leq 1 + \log_a(\frac{n+1}{2})$

Proof.

- If $n > 0$ the root has degree at least 2 and all other nodes have degree at least a. This gives that the number of leaf nodes is at least $2a^{h-1}$.
- Analogously, the degree of any node is at most b and, hence, the number of leaf nodes at most b^h.

□

Lemma 3

Let T be an (a, b)-tree for $n > 0$ elements (i.e., $n + 1$ leaf nodes) and height h (number of edges from root to a leaf vertex). Then

1. $2a^{h-1} \leq n + 1 \leq b^h$
2. $\log_b(n + 1) \leq h \leq 1 + \log_a(\frac{n+1}{2})$

Proof.

- If $n > 0$ the root has degree at least 2 and all other nodes have degree at least a. This gives that the number of leaf nodes is at least $2a^{h-1}$.
- Analogously, the degree of any node is at most b and, hence, the number of leaf nodes at most b^h.

□

Lemma 3

Let T be an (a, b)-tree for $n > 0$ elements (i.e., $n + 1$ leaf nodes) and height h (number of edges from root to a leaf vertex). Then

1. $2a^{h-1} \le n + 1 \le b^h$
2. $\log_b(n + 1) \le h \le 1 + \log_a(\frac{n+1}{2})$

Proof.

- If $n > 0$ the root has degree at least 2 and all other nodes have degree at least a. This gives that the number of leaf nodes is at least $2a^{h-1}$.
- Analogously, the degree of any node is at most b and, hence, the number of leaf nodes at most b^h.

□

Search



Search

Search(8)



Search

Search(8)



Search

Search(19)



Search

Search(19)



Search



The search is straightforward. It is only important that you need to go all the way to the leaf.

Search



The search is straightforward. It is only important that you need to go all the way to the leaf.

Time: $\mathcal{O}(b \cdot h) = \mathcal{O}(b \cdot \log n)$, if the individual nodes are organized as linear lists.

Insert

Insert element x:

- Follow the path as if searching for $\text{key}[x]$.
- If this search ends in leaf ℓ, insert x before this leaf.
- For this add $\text{key}[x]$ to the key-list of the last internal node v on the path.
- If after the insert v contains b nodes, do Rebalance(v).

Insert

Insert element x:

- Follow the path as if searching for $\text{key}[x]$.
- If this search ends in leaf ℓ, insert x **before** this leaf.
- For this add $\text{key}[x]$ to the key-list of the last internal node v on the path.
- If after the insert v contains b nodes, do Rebalance(v).

Insert

Insert element x:

- Follow the path as if searching for $\mathrm{key}[x]$.
- If this search ends in leaf ℓ, insert x before this leaf.
- For this add $\mathrm{key}[x]$ to the key-list of the last internal node v on the path.
- If after the insert v contains b nodes, do Rebalance(v).

Insert

Insert element x:

- Follow the path as if searching for $\text{key}[x]$.
- If this search ends in leaf ℓ, insert x **before** this leaf.
- For this add $\text{key}[x]$ to the key-list of the last internal node v on the path.
- If after the insert v contains b nodes, do Rebalance(v).

Insert

Rebalance(v):

- Let k_i, $i = 1, \ldots, b$ denote the keys stored in v.
- Let $j := \lfloor \frac{b+1}{2} \rfloor$ be the middle element.
- Create two nodes v_1, and v_2. v_1 gets all keys $k_1, \ldots, k_{j-1}$ and v_2 gets keys $k_{j+1}, \ldots, k_b$.
- Both nodes get at least $\lfloor \frac{b-1}{2} \rfloor$ keys, and have therefore degree at least $\lfloor \frac{b-1}{2} \rfloor + 1 \geq a$ since $b \geq 2a - 1$.
- They get at most $\lceil \frac{b-1}{2} \rceil$ keys, and have therefore degree at most $\lceil \frac{b-1}{2} \rceil + 1 \leq b$ (since $b \geq 2$).
- The key k_j is promoted to the parent of v. The current pointer to v is altered to point to v_1, and a new pointer (to the right of k_j) in the parent is added to point to v_2.
- Then, re-balance the parent.

Insert

Rebalance(v):

- Let k_i, $i = 1, \dots, b$ denote the keys stored in v.
- Let $j := \lfloor \frac{b+1}{2} \rfloor$ be the middle element.
- Create two nodes v_1, and v_2. v_1 gets all keys $k_1, \dots, k_{j-1}$ and v_2 gets keys $k_{j+1}, \dots, k_b$.
- Both nodes get at least $\lfloor \frac{b-1}{2} \rfloor$ keys, and have therefore degree at least $\lfloor \frac{b-1}{2} \rfloor + 1 \geq a$ since $b \geq 2a - 1$.
- They get at most $\lceil \frac{b-1}{2} \rceil$ keys, and have therefore degree at most $\lceil \frac{b-1}{2} \rceil + 1 \leq b$ (since $b \geq 2$).
- The key k_j is promoted to the parent of v. The current pointer to v is altered to point to v_1, and a new pointer (to the right of k_j) in the parent is added to point to v_2.
- Then, re-balance the parent.

Insert

Rebalance(v):

- Let k_i, $i = 1, \dots, b$ denote the keys stored in v.
- Let $j := \lfloor \frac{b+1}{2} \rfloor$ be the middle element.
- Create two nodes v_1, and v_2. v_1 gets all keys $k_1, \dots, k_{j-1}$ and v_2 gets keys $k_{j+1}, \dots, k_b$.
- Both nodes get at least $\lfloor \frac{b-1}{2} \rfloor$ keys, and have therefore degree at least $\lfloor \frac{b-1}{2} \rfloor + 1 \geq a$ since $b \geq 2a - 1$.
- They get at most $\lceil \frac{b-1}{2} \rceil$ keys, and have therefore degree at most $\lceil \frac{b-1}{2} \rceil + 1 \leq b$ (since $b \geq 2$).
- The key k_j is promoted to the parent of v. The current pointer to v is altered to point to v_1, and a new pointer (to the right of k_j) in the parent is added to point to v_2.
- Then, re-balance the parent.

Insert

Rebalance(v):

- Let k_i, $i = 1, \ldots, b$ denote the keys stored in v.
- Let $j := \lfloor \frac{b+1}{2} \rfloor$ be the middle element.
- Create two nodes v_1, and v_2. v_1 gets all keys $k_1, \ldots, k_{j-1}$ and v_2 gets keys $k_{j+1}, \ldots, k_b$.
- Both nodes get at least $\lfloor \frac{b-1}{2} \rfloor$ keys, and have therefore degree at least $\lfloor \frac{b-1}{2} \rfloor + 1 \geq a$ since $b \geq 2a - 1$.
- They get at most $\lceil \frac{b-1}{2} \rceil$ keys, and have therefore degree at most $\lceil \frac{b-1}{2} \rceil + 1 \leq b$ (since $b \geq 2$).
- The key k_j is promoted to the parent of v. The current pointer to v is altered to point to v_1, and a new pointer (to the right of k_j) in the parent is added to point to v_2.
- Then, re-balance the parent.

Insert

Rebalance(v):

- Let k_i, $i = 1, \dots, b$ denote the keys stored in v.
- Let $j := \lfloor \frac{b+1}{2} \rfloor$ be the middle element.
- Create two nodes v_1, and v_2. v_1 gets all keys $k_1, \dots, k_{j-1}$ and v_2 gets keys $k_{j+1}, \dots, k_b$.
- Both nodes get at least $\lfloor \frac{b-1}{2} \rfloor$ keys, and have therefore degree at least $\lfloor \frac{b-1}{2} \rfloor + 1 \geq a$ since $b \geq 2a - 1$.
- They get at most $\lceil \frac{b-1}{2} \rceil$ keys, and have therefore degree at most $\lceil \frac{b-1}{2} \rceil + 1 \leq b$ (since $b \geq 2$).
- The key k_j is promoted to the parent of v. The current pointer to v is altered to point to v_1, and a new pointer (to the right of k_j) in the parent is added to point to v_2.
- Then, re-balance the parent.

Insert

Rebalance(v):

- Let k_i, $i = 1, \dots, b$ denote the keys stored in v.
- Let $j := \lfloor \frac{b+1}{2} \rfloor$ be the middle element.
- Create two nodes v_1, and v_2. v_1 gets all keys $k_1, \dots, k_{j-1}$ and v_2 gets keys $k_{j+1}, \dots, k_b$.
- Both nodes get at least $\lfloor \frac{b-1}{2} \rfloor$ keys, and have therefore degree at least $\lfloor \frac{b-1}{2} \rfloor + 1 \geq a$ since $b \geq 2a - 1$.
- They get at most $\lceil \frac{b-1}{2} \rceil$ keys, and have therefore degree at most $\lceil \frac{b-1}{2} \rceil + 1 \leq b$ (since $b \geq 2$).
- The key k_j is promoted to the parent of v. The current pointer to v is altered to point to v_1, and a new pointer (to the right of k_j) in the parent is added to point to v_2.
- Then, re-balance the parent.

Insert

Rebalance(v):

- Let k_i, $i = 1, \dots, b$ denote the keys stored in v.
- Let $j := \lfloor \frac{b+1}{2} \rfloor$ be the middle element.
- Create two nodes v_1, and v_2. v_1 gets all keys $k_1, \dots, k_{j-1}$ and v_2 gets keys $k_{j+1}, \dots, k_b$.
- Both nodes get at least $\lfloor \frac{b-1}{2} \rfloor$ keys, and have therefore degree at least $\lfloor \frac{b-1}{2} \rfloor + 1 \geq a$ since $b \geq 2a - 1$.
- They get at most $\lceil \frac{b-1}{2} \rceil$ keys, and have therefore degree at most $\lceil \frac{b-1}{2} \rceil + 1 \leq b$ (since $b \geq 2$).
- The key k_j is promoted to the parent of v. The current pointer to v is altered to point to v_1, and a new pointer (to the right of k_j) in the parent is added to point to v_2.
- Then, re-balance the parent.

Insert



Insert

Insert(8)



Insert

Insert(8)



Insert

Insert(8)



Insert

Insert(8)



Insert

Insert(8)



Insert



Insert

Insert(6)



Insert

Insert(6)



Insert

Insert(6)



Insert

Insert(6)



Insert

Insert(7)



Insert

Insert(7)



Insert

Insert(7)



Insert

Insert(7)



Insert

Insert(7)



Insert

Insert(7)



Insert

Insert(7)



Insert

Insert(7)



Insert

Insert(7)



Delete

Delete element x (pointer to leaf vertex):

- Let v denote the parent of x. If $\text{key}[x]$ is contained in v, remove the key from v, and delete the leaf vertex.
- Otherwise delete the key of the predecessor of x from v; delete the leaf vertex; and replace the occurrence of $\text{key}[x]$ in internal nodes by the predecessor key. (Note that it appears in exactly one internal vertex).
- If now the number of keys in v is below $a - 1$ perform $\text{Rebalance}'(v)$.

Delete

Delete element x (pointer to leaf vertex):

- Let v denote the parent of x. If $\mathrm{key}[x]$ is contained in v, remove the key from v, and delete the leaf vertex.
- Otherwise delete the key of the predecessor of x from v; delete the leaf vertex; and replace the occurrence of $\mathrm{key}[x]$ in internal nodes by the predecessor key. (Note that it appears in exactly one internal vertex).
- If now the number of keys in v is below $a-1$ perform Rebalance$'(v)$.

Delete

Delete element x (pointer to leaf vertex):

- Let v denote the parent of x. If $\text{key}[x]$ is contained in v, remove the key from v, and delete the leaf vertex.
- Otherwise delete the key of the predecessor of x from v; delete the leaf vertex; and replace the occurrence of $\text{key}[x]$ in internal nodes by the predecessor key. (Note that it appears in exactly one internal vertex).
- If now the number of keys in v is below $a-1$ perform Rebalance′(v).

Delete

Rebalance′(v):

- If there is a neighbour of v that has at least a keys take over the largest (if right neighbor) or smallest (if left neighbour) and the corresponding sub-tree.
- If not: merge v with one of its neighbours.
- The merged node contains at most $(a-2)+(a-1)+1$ keys, and has therefore at most $2a-1 \le b$ successors.
- Then rebalance the parent.
- During this process the root may become empty. In this case the root is deleted and the height of the tree decreases.

Delete

Rebalance'(v):

- If there is a neighbour of v that has at least a keys take over the largest (if right neighbor) or smallest (if left neighbour) and the corresponding sub-tree.
- If not: merge v with one of its neighbours.
- The merged node contains at most $(a-2)+(a-1)+1$ keys, and has therefore at most $2a-1 \leq b$ successors.
- Then rebalance the parent.
- During this process the root may become empty. In this case the root is deleted and the height of the tree decreases.

Delete

Rebalance′(v):

- If there is a neighbour of v that has at least a keys take over the largest (if right neighbor) or smallest (if left neighbour) and the corresponding sub-tree.
- If not: merge v with one of its neighbours.
- The merged node contains at most $(a-2)+(a-1)+1$ keys, and has therefore at most $2a-1 \leq b$ successors.
- Then rebalance the parent.
- During this process the root may become empty. In this case the root is deleted and the height of the tree decreases.

Delete

Rebalance′(v):

- If there is a neighbour of v that has at least a keys take over the largest (if right neighbor) or smallest (if left neighbour) and the corresponding sub-tree.
- If not: merge v with one of its neighbours.
- The merged node contains at most $(a-2)+(a-1)+1$ keys, and has therefore at most $2a-1 \le b$ successors.
- Then rebalance the parent.
- During this process the root may become empty. In this case the root is deleted and the height of the tree decreases.

Delete

Rebalance′(v):

- If there is a neighbour of v that has at least a keys take over the largest (if right neighbor) or smallest (if left neighbour) and the corresponding sub-tree.
- If not: merge v with one of its neighbours.
- The merged node contains at most $(a-2)+(a-1)+1$ keys, and has therefore at most $2a-1 \le b$ successors.
- Then rebalance the parent.
- During this process the root may become empty. In this case the root is deleted and the height of the tree decreases.

Delete



Delete

Delete(10)



Delete

Delete(10)



Delete

Delete(10)



Delete



Delete

Delete(14)



Delete

Delete(14)



Delete

Delete(14)



Delete

Delete(14)



Delete

Delete(14)



Delete



Delete

Delete(3)



Delete

Delete(3)



Delete

Delete(3)



Delete

Delete(3)



Delete

Delete(3)



Delete



Delete

Delete(1)



Delete

Delete(1)



Delete

Delete(1)



Delete



Delete

Delete(19)



Delete

Delete(19)



Delete

Delete(19)



Delete

Delete(19)



Delete

Delete(19)



Delete

Delete(19)



$(2,4)$-trees and red black trees

There is a close relation between red-black trees and $(2,4)$-trees:



$(2,4)$-trees and red black trees

There is a close relation between red-black trees and $(2,4)$-trees:



$(2,4)$-trees and red black trees

There is a close relation between red-black trees and $(2,4)$-trees:



$(2,4)$-trees and red black trees

There is a close relation between red-black trees and $(2,4)$-trees:



$(2,4)$-trees and red black trees

There is a close relation between red-black trees and $(2,4)$-trees:



$(2,4)$-trees and red black trees

There is a close relation between red-black trees and $(2,4)$-trees:



$(2,4)$-trees and red black trees

There is a close relation between red-black trees and $(2,4)$-trees:



$(2,4)$-trees and red black trees

There is a close relation between red-black trees and $(2,4)$-trees:



$(2,4)$-trees and red black trees

There is a close relation between red-black trees and $(2,4)$-trees:



$(2,4)$-trees and red black trees

There is a close relation between red-black trees and $(2,4)$-trees:



Note that this correspondence is not unique. In particular, there are different red-black trees that correspond to the same $(2,4)$-tree.