## Splay Trees

Disadvantage of balanced search trees:

- worst case; no advantage for easy inputs
- additional memory required
- complicated implementation

Splay Trees:

+ after access, an element is moved to the root; $\operatorname{splay}(x)$ repeated accesses are faster
- only amortized guarantee
- read-operations change the tree


## Splay Trees

find $(x)$

- search for $x$ according to a search tree
- let $\bar{x}$ be last element on search-path
- $\operatorname{splay}(\bar{x})$


## Splay Trees

## insert $(x)$

- search for $x ; \bar{x}$ is last visited element during search (successer or predecessor of $x$ )
- $\operatorname{splay}(\bar{x})$ moves $\bar{x}$ to the root
- insert $x$ as new root


TThe illustration shows the case when $\bar{x}$ is
, the predecessor of $x$.

## Splay Trees

delete $(x)$

- search for $x ; \operatorname{splay}(x)$; remove $x$
- search largest element $\bar{x}$ in $A$
- $\operatorname{splay}(\bar{x})$ (on subtree $A$ )
- connect root of $B$ as right child of $\bar{x}$



## Move to Root



How to bring element to root?

- one (bad) option: moveToRoot( $x$ )
- iteratively do rotation around parent of $x$ until $x$ is root
- if $x$ is left child do right rotation otw. left rotation


## Splay: Zig Case


better option splay $(x)$ :

- zig case: if $x$ is child of root do left rotation or right rotation around parent


## Splay: Zigzag Case


better option splay $(x)$ :

- zigzag case: if $x$ is right child and parent of $x$ is left child (or $x$ left child parent of $x$ right child)
- do double right rotation around grand-parent (resp. double left rotation)


## Double Rotations



## Splay: Zigzig Case



## better option splay $(x)$ :

- zigzig case: if $x$ is left child and parent of $x$ is left child (or $x$ right child, parent of $x$ right child)
- do right roation around grand-parent followed by right rotation around parent (resp. left rotations)


## Splay vs. Move to Root


' moveToRoot $(x)$ is executed.

## Splay vs. Move to Root



## Splay vs. Move to Root



## Static Optimality

Suppose we have a sequence of $m$ find-operations. find $(x)$ appears $h_{x}$ times in this sequence.

The cost of a static search tree $T$ is:

$$
\operatorname{cost}(T)=m+\sum_{x} h_{x} \operatorname{depth}_{T}(x)
$$

The total cost for processing the sequence on a splay-tree is $\mathcal{O}\left(\operatorname{cost}\left(T_{\text {min }}\right)\right)$, where $T_{\text {min }}$ is an optimal static search tree.

I Theorem given without proof.

## Dynamic Optimality

Let $S$ be a sequence with $m$ find-operations.
Let $A$ be a data-structure based on a search tree:

- the cost for accessing element $x$ is $1+\operatorname{depth}(x)$;
- after accessing $x$ the tree may be re-arranged through rotations;

Conjecture:
A splay tree that only contains elements from $S$ has cost $\mathcal{O}(\operatorname{cost}(A, S))$, for processing $S$.

## Lemma 1

Splay Trees have an amortized running time of $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ for all operations.

## Amortized Analysis

## Definition 2

A data structure with operations $\mathrm{op}_{1}(), \ldots, \mathrm{op}_{k}()$ has amortized running times $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}$ for these operations if the following holds.

Suppose you are given a sequence of operations (starting with an empty data-structure) that operate on at most $n$ elements, and let $k_{i}$ denote the number of occurences of $\mathrm{op}_{i}()$ within this sequence. Then the actual running time must be at most $\sum_{i} k_{i} \cdot t_{i}(n)$.

## Potential Method

Introduce a potential for the data structure.

- $\Phi\left(D_{i}\right)$ is the potential after the $i$-th operation.
- Amortized cost of the $i$-th operation is

$$
\hat{c}_{i}=c_{i}+\Phi\left(D_{i}\right)-\Phi\left(D_{i-1}\right) .
$$

- Show that $\Phi\left(D_{i}\right) \geq \Phi\left(D_{0}\right)$.

Then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i}+\Phi\left(D_{k}\right)-\Phi\left(D_{0}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \hat{c}_{i}
$$

This means the amortized costs can be used to derive a bound on the total cost.

## Example: Stack

## Stack

- S. push()
- S. pop()
- $S$. multipop $(k)$ : removes $k$ items from the stack. If the stack currently contains less than $k$ items it empties the stack.
- The user has to ensure that pop and multipop do not generate an underflow.


## Actual cost:

- S. push(): cost 1.
- S.pop(): cost 1 .
- S. multipop $(k):$ cost $\min \{\operatorname{size}, k\}=k$.


## Example: Stack

Use potential function $\Phi(S)=$ number of elements on the stack.

## Amortized cost:

- S.push(): cost

$$
\hat{C}_{\text {push }}=C_{\text {push }}+\Delta \Phi=1+1 \leq 2 .
$$

- S. pop(): cost

$$
\hat{C}_{\mathrm{pop}}=C_{\mathrm{pop}}+\Delta \Phi=1-1 \leq 0 .
$$

Note that the analysis
becomes wrong if pop() or ' multipop() are called on an : empty stack.

- S. multipop (k): cost

$$
\hat{C}_{\mathrm{mp}}=C_{\mathrm{mp}}+\Delta \Phi=\min \{\text { size }, k\}-\min \{\text { size }, k\} \leq 0 .
$$

## Example: Binary Counter

## Incrementing a binary counter:

Consider a computational model where each bit-operation costs one time-unit.

Incrementing an $n$-bit binary counter may require to examine $n$-bits, and maybe change them.

## Actual cost:

- Changing bit from 0 to 1 : cost 1 .
- Changing bit from 1 to 0 : cost 1 .
- Increment: cost is $k+1$, where $k$ is the number of consecutive ones in the least significant bit-positions (e.g, 001101 has $k=1$ ).


## Example: Binary Counter

Choose potential function $\Phi(x)=k$, where $k$ denotes the number of ones in the binary representation of $x$.

## Amortized cost:

- Changing bit from 0 to 1 :

$$
\hat{C}_{0 \rightarrow 1}=C_{0 \rightarrow 1}+\Delta \Phi=1+1 \leq 2 .
$$

- Changing bit from 1 to 0 :

$$
\hat{C}_{1 \rightarrow 0}=C_{1 \rightarrow 0}+\Delta \Phi=1-1 \leq 0 .
$$

- Increment: Let $k$ denotes the number of consecutive ones in the least significant bit-positions. An increment involves $k$ ( $1 \rightarrow 0$ )-operations, and one $(0 \rightarrow 1)$-operation.

Hence, the amortized cost is $k \hat{C}_{1 \rightarrow 0}+\hat{C}_{0 \rightarrow 1} \leq 2$.

## Splay Trees

potential function for splay trees:
$-\operatorname{size} \mathrm{s}(x)=\left|T_{x}\right|$
$-\operatorname{rank} \mathrm{r}(x)=\log _{2}(s(x))$

- $\Phi(T)=\sum_{v \in T} r(v)$
amortized cost $=$ real cost + potential change

The cost is essentially the cost of the splay-operation, which is 1 plus the number of rotations.

## Splay: Zig Case



$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta \Phi & =r^{\prime}(x)+r^{\prime}(p)-r(x)-r(p) \\
& =r^{\prime}(p)-r(x) \\
& \leq r^{\prime}(x)-r(x) \\
& \operatorname{cost}_{\mathrm{zig}} \leq 1+3\left(r^{\prime}(x)-r(x)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Splay: Zigzig Case

 , from next slide.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta \Phi & =r^{\prime}(x)+r^{\prime}(p)+r^{\prime}(g)-r(x)-r(p)-r(g) \\
& =r^{\prime}(p)+r^{\prime}(g)-r(x)-r(p) \\
& \leq r^{\prime}(x)+r^{\prime}(g)-r(x)-r(x) \\
& =r^{\prime}(x)+r^{\prime}(g)+r(x)-3 r^{\prime}(x)+3 r^{\prime}(x)-r(x)-2 r(x) \\
& =-2 r^{\prime}(x)+r^{\prime}(g)+r(x)+3\left(r^{\prime}(x)-r(x)\right) \\
& \leq-2+3\left(r^{\prime}(x)-r(x)\right) \Rightarrow \operatorname{cost}_{\text {zigzig }} \leq 3\left(r^{\prime}(x)-r(x)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Splay: Zigzig Case



$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}(r(x) & \left.+r^{\prime}(g)-2 r^{\prime}(x)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\log (s(x))+\log \left(s^{\prime}(g)\right)-2 \log \left(s^{\prime}(x)\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{s(x)}{s^{\prime}(x)}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{s^{\prime}(g)}{s^{\prime}(x)}\right) \\
& \leq \log \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{s(x)}{s^{\prime}(x)}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{s^{\prime}(g)}{s^{\prime}(x)}\right) \leq \log \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=-1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Splay: Zigzag Case



$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta \Phi & =r^{\prime}(x)+r^{\prime}(p)+r^{\prime}(g)-r(x)-r(p)-r(g) \\
& =r^{\prime}(p)+r^{\prime}(g)-r(x)-r(p) \\
& \leq r^{\prime}(p)+r^{\prime}(g)-r(x)-r(x) \\
& =r^{\prime}(p)+r^{\prime}(g)-2 r^{\prime}(x)+2 r^{\prime}(x)-2 r(x) \\
& \leq-2+2\left(r^{\prime}(x)-r(x)\right) \Rightarrow \operatorname{cost}_{z i g z a g} \leq 3\left(r^{\prime}(x)-r(x)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Splay: Zigzag Case



$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\left(r^{\prime}(p)\right. & \left.+r^{\prime}(g)-2 r^{\prime}(x)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\log \left(s^{\prime}(p)\right)+\log \left(s^{\prime}(g)\right)-2 \log \left(s^{\prime}(x)\right)\right) \\
& \leq \log \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{s^{\prime}(p)}{s^{\prime}(x)}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{s^{\prime}(g)}{s^{\prime}(x)}\right) \leq \log \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Amortized cost of the whole splay operation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq 1+1+\sum_{\text {steps } t} 3\left(r_{t}(x)-r_{t-1}(x)\right) \\
& =2+r(\text { root })-r_{0}(x) \\
& \leq \mathcal{O}(\log n)
\end{aligned}
$$



## Splay Trees
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