

Brewery Problem

Brewery brews ale and beer.

- ▶ Production limited by supply of corn, hops and barley malt
- ▶ Recipes for ale and beer require different amounts of resources

	<i>Corn (kg)</i>	<i>Hops (kg)</i>	<i>Malt (kg)</i>	<i>Profit (€)</i>
ale (barrel)	5	4	35	13
beer (barrel)	15	4	20	23
supply	480	160	1190	

Brewery Problem

	<i>Corn</i> (kg)	<i>Hops</i> (kg)	<i>Malt</i> (kg)	<i>Profit</i> (€)
ale (barrel)	5	4	35	13
beer (barrel)	15	4	20	23
supply	480	160	1190	

How can brewer maximize profits?

- ▶ only brew ale: 34 barrels of ale \Rightarrow 442 €
- ▶ only brew beer: 32 barrels of beer \Rightarrow 736 €
- ▶ 7.5 barrels ale, 29.5 barrels beer \Rightarrow 776 €
- ▶ 12 barrels ale, 28 barrels beer \Rightarrow 800 €

Brewery Problem

Linear Program

- ▶ Introduce **variables** a and b that define how much ale and beer to produce.
- ▶ Choose the variables in such a way that the **objective function** (profit) is maximized.
- ▶ Make sure that no **constraints** (due to limited supply) are violated.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max & 13a + 23b \\ \text{s.t.} & 5a + 15b \leq 480 \\ & 4a + 4b \leq 160 \\ & 35a + 20b \leq 1190 \\ & a, b \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Standard Form LPs

LP in standard form:

- ▶ input: numbers a_{ij} , c_j , b_i
- ▶ output: numbers x_j
- ▶ n = #decision variables, m = #constraints
- ▶ maximize linear objective function subject to linear (in)equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j = b_i \quad 1 \leq i \leq m \\ & x_j \geq 0 \quad 1 \leq j \leq n \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & c^T x \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Ax = b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Standard Form LPs

Original LP

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & 13a + 23b \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & 5a + 15b \leq 480 \\ & 4a + 4b \leq 160 \\ & 35a + 20b \leq 1190 \\ & a, b \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Standard Form

Add a **slack variable** to every constraint.

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & 13a + 23b \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & 5a + 15b + s_c = 480 \\ & 4a + 4b + s_h = 160 \\ & 35a + 20b + s_m = 1190 \\ & a, b, s_c, s_h, s_m \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Standard Form LPs

There are different standard forms:

standard form

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max & c^T x \\ \text{s.t.} & Ax = b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & c^T x \\ \text{s.t.} & Ax = b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{array}$$

standard
maximization form

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max & c^T x \\ \text{s.t.} & Ax \leq b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{array}$$

standard
minimization form

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & c^T x \\ \text{s.t.} & Ax \geq b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Standard Form LPs

It is easy to transform variants of LPs into (any) standard form:

- ▶ **less or equal to equality:**

$$a - 3b + 5c \leq 12 \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} a - 3b + 5c + s = 12 \\ s \geq 0 \end{array}$$

- ▶ **greater or equal to equality:**

$$a - 3b + 5c \geq 12 \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} a - 3b + 5c - s = 12 \\ s \geq 0 \end{array}$$

- ▶ **min to max:**

$$\min a - 3b + 5c \Rightarrow \max -a + 3b - 5c$$

Standard Form LPs

It is easy to transform variants of LPs into (any) standard form:

- ▶ **equality to less or equal:**

$$a - 3b + 5c = 12 \Rightarrow \begin{aligned} a - 3b + 5c &\leq 12 \\ -a + 3b - 5c &\leq -12 \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ **equality to greater or equal:**

$$a - 3b + 5c = 12 \Rightarrow \begin{aligned} a - 3b + 5c &\geq 12 \\ -a + 3b - 5c &\geq -12 \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ **unrestricted to nonnegative:**

$$x \text{ unrestricted} \Rightarrow x = x^+ - x^-, x^+ \geq 0, x^- \geq 0$$

Observations:

- ▶ a linear program does not contain x^2 , $\cos(x)$, etc.
- ▶ transformations between standard forms can be done efficiently and only change the size of the LP by a small constant factor
- ▶ for the standard minimization or maximization LPs we could include the nonnegativity constraints into the set of ordinary constraints; this is of course not possible for the standard form

Fundamental Questions

Definition 1 (Linear Programming Problem (LP))

Let $A \in \mathbb{Q}^{m \times n}$, $b \in \mathbb{Q}^m$, $c \in \mathbb{Q}^n$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$. Does there exist $x \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ s.t. $Ax = b$, $x \geq 0$, $c^T x \geq \alpha$?


Questions:

- ▶ Is LP in NP?
- ▶ Is LP in co-NP?
- ▶ Is LP in P?


Input size:

- ▶ n number of variables, m constraints, L number of bits to encode the input

Geometry of Linear Programming



Geometry of Linear Programming



Definitions

Let for a Linear Program in standard form

$$P = \{x \mid Ax = b, x \geq 0\}.$$

- ▶ P is called the **feasible region** (**Lösungsraum**) of the LP.
- ▶ A point $x \in P$ is called a **feasible point** (**gültige Lösung**).
- ▶ If $P \neq \emptyset$ then the LP is called **feasible** (**erfüllbar**). Otherwise, it is called **infeasible** (**unerfüllbar**).
- ▶ An LP is **bounded** (**beschränkt**) if it is feasible and
 - ▶ $c^T x < \infty$ for all $x \in P$ (for maximization problems)
 - ▶ $c^T x > -\infty$ for all $x \in P$ (for minimization problems)

Definition 2

Given vectors/points $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\sum \lambda_i x_i$ is called

- ▶ **linear combination** if $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$.
- ▶ **affine combination** if $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sum_i \lambda_i = 1$.
- ▶ **convex combination** if $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sum_i \lambda_i = 1$ and $\lambda_i \geq 0$.
- ▶ **conic combination** if $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda_i \geq 0$.

Note that a combination involves only finitely many vectors.

Definition 3

A set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is called

- ▶ a **linear subspace** if it is closed under linear combinations.
- ▶ an **affine subspace** if it is closed under affine combinations.
- ▶ **convex** if it is closed under convex combinations.
- ▶ a **convex cone** if it is closed under conic combinations.

Note that an affine subspace is **not** a vector space

Definition 4

Given a set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$.

- ▶ $\text{span}(X)$ is the set of all linear combinations of X
(linear hull, span)
- ▶ $\text{aff}(X)$ is the set of all affine combinations of X
(affine hull)
- ▶ $\text{conv}(X)$ is the set of all convex combinations of X
(convex hull)
- ▶ $\text{cone}(X)$ is the set of all conic combinations of X
(conic hull)

Definition 5

A function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **convex** if for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$$

Lemma 6

If $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, and $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ convex then also

$$Q = \{x \in P \mid f(x) \leq t\}$$

Dimensions

Definition 7

The **dimension** $\dim(A)$ of an affine subspace $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is the dimension of the vector space $\{x - a \mid x \in A\}$, where $a \in A$.

Definition 8

The **dimension** $\dim(X)$ of a convex set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is the dimension of its affine hull $\text{aff}(X)$.

Definition 9

A set $H \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a **hyperplane** if $H = \{x \mid a^T x = b\}$, for $a \neq 0$.

Definition 10

A set $H' \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a (closed) **halfspace** if $H = \{x \mid a^T x \leq b\}$, for $a \neq 0$.

Definitions

Definition 11

A **polytop** is a set $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ that is the convex hull of a **finite** set of points, i.e., $P = \text{conv}(X)$ where $|X| = c$.

Definitions

Definition 12

A **polyhedron** is a set $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ that can be represented as the intersection of **finitely** many half-spaces

$\{H(a_1, b_1), \dots, H(a_m, b_m)\}$, where

$$H(a_i, b_i) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid a_i x \leq b_i\} .$$

Definition 13

A polyhedron P is **bounded** if there exists B s.t. $\|x\|_2 \leq B$ for all $x \in P$.

Theorem 14

P is a bounded polyhedron iff P is a polytop.

Definition 15

Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$. The hyperplane

$$H(a, b) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid a^T x = b\}$$

is a **supporting hyperplane** of P if $\max\{a^T x \mid x \in P\} = b$.

Definition 16

Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. F is a **face** of P if $F = P$ or $F = P \cap H$ for some supporting hyperplane H .

Definition 17

Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$.

- ▶ a face v is a **vertex** of P if $\{v\}$ is a face of P .
- ▶ a face e is an **edge** of P if e is a face and $\dim(e) = 1$.
- ▶ a face F is a **facet** of P if F is a face and $\dim(F) = \dim(P) - 1$.

Equivalent definition for vertex:

Definition 18

Given polyhedron P . A point $x \in P$ is a **vertex** if $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $c^T y < c^T x$, for all $y \in P, y \neq x$.

Definition 19

Given polyhedron P . A point $x \in P$ is an **extreme point** if $\nexists a, b \neq x, a, b \in P$, with $\lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b = x$ for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Lemma 20

A vertex is also an extreme point.

Observation

The feasible region of an LP is a Polyhedron.

Theorem 21

If there exists an optimal solution to an LP (in standard form) then there exists an optimum solution that is an extreme point.

Proof

- ▶ suppose x is optimal solution that is not extreme point
- ▶ there exists direction $d \neq 0$ such that $x \pm d \in P$
- ▶ $Ad = 0$ because $A(x \pm d) = b$
- ▶ Wlog. assume $c^T d \geq 0$ (by taking either d or $-d$)
- ▶ Consider $x + \lambda d, \lambda > 0$

Convex Sets


Case 1. [$\exists j$ s.t. $d_j < 0$]

- ▶ increase λ to λ' until first component of $x + \lambda d$ hits 0
- ▶ $x + \lambda' d$ is feasible. Since $A(x + \lambda' d) = b$ and $x + \lambda' d \geq 0$
- ▶ $x + \lambda' d$ has one more zero-component ($d_k = 0$ for $x_k = 0$ as $x \pm d \in P$)
- ▶ $c^T x' = c^T(x + \lambda' d) = c^T x + \lambda' c^T d \geq c^T x$

Case 2. [$d_j \geq 0$ for all j and $c^T d > 0$]

- ▶ $x + \lambda d$ is feasible for all $\lambda \geq 0$ since $A(x + \lambda d) = b$ and $x + \lambda d \geq x \geq 0$
- ▶ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, $c^T(x + \lambda d) \rightarrow \infty$ as $c^T d > 0$

Algebraic View



Notation

Suppose $B \subseteq \{1 \dots n\}$ is a set of column-indices. Define A_B as the subset of columns of A indexed by B .

Theorem 22

Let $P = \{x \mid Ax = b, x \geq 0\}$. For $x \in P$, define $B = \{j \mid x_j > 0\}$. Then x is extreme point **iff** A_B has linearly independent columns.

Theorem 22

Let $P = \{x \mid Ax = b, x \geq 0\}$. For $x \in P$, define $B = \{j \mid x_j > 0\}$. Then x is extreme point **iff** A_B has linearly independent columns.

Proof (\Leftarrow)

- ▶ assume x is not extreme point
- ▶ there exists direction d s.t. $x \pm d \in P$
- ▶ $Ad = 0$ because $A(x \pm d) = b$
- ▶ define $B' = \{j \mid d_j \neq 0\}$
- ▶ $A_{B'}$ has linearly dependent columns as $Ad = 0$
- ▶ $d_j = 0$ for all j with $x_j = 0$ as $x \pm d \geq 0$
- ▶ Hence, $B' \subseteq B$, $A_{B'}$ is sub-matrix of A_B

Theorem 22

Let $P = \{x \mid Ax = b, x \geq 0\}$. For $x \in P$, define $B = \{j \mid x_j > 0\}$. Then x is extreme point **iff** A_B has linearly independent columns.

Proof (\Rightarrow)

- ▶ assume A_B has linearly dependent columns
- ▶ there exists $d \neq 0$ such that $A_B d = 0$
- ▶ extend d to \mathbb{R}^n by adding 0-components
- ▶ now, $Ad = 0$ and $d_j = 0$ whenever $x_j = 0$
- ▶ for sufficiently small λ we have $x \pm \lambda d \in P$
- ▶ hence, x is not extreme point

Theorem 23

Let $P = \{x \mid Ax = b, x \geq 0\}$. For $x \in P$, define $B = \{j \mid x_j > 0\}$. If A_B has linearly independent columns then x is a vertex of P .

- ▶ define $c_j = \begin{cases} 0 & j \in B \\ -1 & j \notin B \end{cases}$
- ▶ then $c^T x = 0$ and $c^T y \leq 0$ for $y \in P$
- ▶ assume $c^T y = 0$; then $y_j = 0$ for all $j \notin B$
- ▶ $b = Ay = A_B y_B = Ax = A_B x_B$ gives that $A_B(x_B - y_B) = 0$;
- ▶ this means that $x_B = y_B$ since A_B has linearly independent columns
- ▶ we get $y = x$
- ▶ hence, x is a vertex of P

Observation

For an LP we can assume wlog. that the matrix A has full row-rank. This means $\text{rank}(A) = m$.

- ▶ assume that $\text{rank}(A) < m$
- ▶ assume wlog. that the first row A_1 lies in the span of the other rows A_2, \dots, A_m ; this means

$$A_1 = \sum_{i=2}^m \lambda_i \cdot A_i, \text{ for suitable } \lambda_i$$

- C1** if now $b_1 = \sum_{i=2}^m \lambda_i \cdot b_i$ then for all x with $A_i x = b_i$ we also have $A_1 x = b_1$; hence the first constraint is superfluous
- C2** if $b_1 \neq \sum_{i=2}^m \lambda_i \cdot b_i$ then the LP is infeasible, since for all x that fulfill constraints A_2, \dots, A_m we have

$$A_1 x = \sum_{i=2}^m \lambda_i \cdot A_i x = \sum_{i=2}^m \lambda_i \cdot b_i \neq b_1$$

From now on we will always assume that the constraint matrix of a standard form LP has full row rank.

Theorem 24

Given $P = \{x \mid Ax = b, x \geq 0\}$. x is extreme point iff there exists $B \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $|B| = m$ and

- ▶ A_B is non-singular
- ▶ $x_B = A_B^{-1}b \geq 0$
- ▶ $x_N = 0$

where $N = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus B$.

Proof

Take $B = \{j \mid x_j > 0\}$ and augment with linearly independent columns until $|B| = m$; always possible since $\text{rank}(A) = m$.

Basic Feasible Solutions

$x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is called **basic solution** (**Basislösung**) if $Ax = b$ and $\text{rank}(A_J) = |J|$ where $J = \{j \mid x_j \neq 0\}$;

x is a **basic feasible solution** (**gültige Basislösung**) if in addition $x \geq 0$.

A **basis** (**Basis**) is an index set $B \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $\text{rank}(A_B) = m$ and $|B| = m$.

$x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $A_B x_B = b$ and $x_j = 0$ for all $j \notin B$ is the **basic solution associated to basis B** (**die zu B assoziierte Basislösung**)

Basic Feasible Solutions

A BFS fulfills the m equality constraints.

In addition, at least $n - m$ of the x_i 's are zero. The corresponding non-negativity constraint is fulfilled with equality.

Fact:


In a BFS at least n constraints are fulfilled with equality.

Basic Feasible Solutions

Definition 25

For a general LP ($\max\{c^T x \mid Ax \leq b\}$) with n variables a point x is a **basic feasible solution** if x is feasible and there exist n (linearly independent) constraints that are tight.

Algebraic View



Fundamental Questions

Linear Programming Problem (LP)

Let $A \in \mathbb{Q}^{m \times n}$, $b \in \mathbb{Q}^m$, $c \in \mathbb{Q}^n$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$. Does there exist $x \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ s.t. $Ax = b$, $x \geq 0$, $c^T x \geq \alpha$?

Questions:

- ▶ Is LP in NP? yes!
- ▶ Is LP in co-NP?
- ▶ Is LP in P?

Proof:

- ▶ Given a basis B we can compute the associated basis solution by calculating $A_B^{-1}b$ in polynomial time; then we can also compute the profit.

Observation

We can compute an optimal solution to a linear program in time $\mathcal{O}\left(\binom{n}{m} \cdot \text{poly}(n, m)\right)$.

- ▶ there are only $\binom{n}{m}$ different bases.
- ▶ compute the profit of each of them and take the maximum

What happens if LP is unbounded?